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**Juvenile Delinquency**

Juvenile delinquency is an essential socioeconomic issue that impacts people, families, and society. Delinquent behaviour among juveniles is associated with poor outcomes, including academic failure, substance misuse, mental health problems, and contact with the criminal justice system. Juvenile delinquency is a severe issue, with rates stubbornly high despite efforts to address it. In recent years, school-based interventions have emerged as a promising technique for avoiding delinquent conduct in children who are at risk (Healy et al., 2020). These treatments are primarily aimed at children who display risk factors for delinquency, such as low academic performance, truancy, and disruptive behaviour. They typically comprise several tactics, such as mentoring, counselling, and behavioural interventions. Although these interventions promise to reduce delinquent behaviour among at-risk children, research on the effects of family structure and parental participation on their effectiveness is scarce. Even though parental participation and family structure have been identified as significant predictors of delinquent behaviour, little research has been conducted on how these characteristics influence the efficacy of school-based interventions.

The composition of a household, including the presence or absence of parents, siblings, grandparents, or other relatives, is the family structure. Children from single-parent households or those who experience family disturbance due to divorce, separation, or death are at a higher risk of delinquency. Bad parent-child connections, a lack of parental supervision, severe or inconsistent discipline, and parental substance abuse have also been related to delinquency (Nalugya et al., 2022). School-based interventions are crucial instruments for preventing delinquent conduct and fostering healthy adolescent development. These interventions can include counselling, mentorship, tutoring, after-school activities, and volunteer work. School-based treatments can provide a secure and supportive environment for young people at risk of engaging in delinquent behaviour. Nevertheless, little is known about how parental participation and family structure affect the effectiveness of these interventions.

This study highlights the significance of family structure and parental involvement in the success of school-based treatments for adolescents at risk of delinquency. The magnitude of juvenile delinquency as a social problem increases the need to identify and address its core causes. Despite being identified as significant drivers of youth participation in delinquent activities, relatively little attention has been dedicated to the effects of family structure and parental involvement on the effectiveness of school-based interventions (Weathers et al., 2021). Individuals, families, and society can benefit from this study's substantial implications for enhancing the lives of at-risk adolescents and decreasing the prevalence of delinquent behaviour.

Juvenile delinquency remains a serious social issue, and many young people risk engaging in criminal activity, making the research challenge relevant and timely. The home environment and parental involvement significantly impact teenagers' happiness, well-being, and propensity for either good or poor behaviour. Studying how family structure and parental involvement affect the efficacy of school-based interventions for adolescents at risk for delinquency addresses a significant knowledge gap. Therefore, this paper aims to evaluate how parental involvement and family structure affect the effectiveness of school-based interventions for at-risk adolescents.

**Literature Review**

Today, juvenile delinquency is a substantial social problem that affects communities across the globe. It has been characterised as illegal or criminal action often undertaken by adolescents under 18. The intensity of juvenile delinquency ranges from moderate to severe, affecting both perpetrators and victims. It is possible to comprehend better and treat juvenile delinquency if its definition, nature, and various contributing risk factors are explored.

**Definition and Nature of Juvenile Delinquency**

Various illegal and antisocial behaviours committed by children and adolescents under eighteen are categorised under juvenile delinquency. Juvenile delinquency arises from the belief that, due to immaturity, kids should not be held to the same accountability standards as adults. Juvenile misbehaviour is not a fixed or universal problem in today's culture. Each form of juvenile delinquency is influenced by its environment's social, cultural, and economic factors. In the United States, each state has its legislation on juvenile delinquency. The definition includes drug use, theft, vandalism, assault, and other aggressive and nonviolent behaviours (Nalugya et al., 2022). Not all cases of adolescents engaging in illegal or antisocial behaviour are categorised as juvenile delinquency. Although they may be classified as "status offences" in some states, truancy and homelessness are not necessarily considered juvenile delinquency. Many factors determine the characteristics of juvenile delinquency. Peer pressure, family dynamics, and regional factors can also impact the likelihood of adolescent misbehaviour (Elov & Berdiyeva, 2022). Understanding the nature of juvenile delinquency is essential for implementing successful prevention and intervention methods.

**Risk Factors for Juvenile Delinquency**

Many risk factors compound the complicated problem of juvenile delinquency. Risk variables are situations or occurrences that raise a young person's risk of criminal behaviour. Several risk factors for juvenile delinquency have been established through research, including risks related to individuals, families, and communities can all be broadly defined (Fonagy et al., 2020). A history of antisocial conduct, substance misuse, mental health issues, and poor academic performance are individual-level risk factors for juvenile delinquency. Early antisocial behaviour increases a young person's risk of persistently delinquent behaviour (Fonagy et al., 2020). The inability to regulate impulses and make logical decisions is a hallmark of substance misuse and mental illness linked to an increased risk of criminal activity. Poor academic success, the despair and hopelessness it can produce, and other personal risk factors for juvenile delinquency are all associated with an increased possibility of participating in criminal behaviour.

Parents' neglect, abuse, and rejection are family-level risk factors for adolescent criminality. Discipline that is inconsistent or excessively severe may encourage antisocial behaviour in children. Delinquency is also more likely to arise when parental involvement and monitoring are lacking (Whiting et al., 2020). Besides, children abused or neglected may also engage in antisocial behaviour more frequently. Home issues like parental divorce or domestic abuse may exacerbate child delinquency.

Poverty, social exclusion, and exposure to violence are factors at the community level that increase the risk of juvenile delinquency. Living in a low-income community with significant crime and violence can encourage antisocial behaviour. Poverty and economic hardship can also contribute to juvenile delinquency, even though young people may commit crimes to meet their necessities (Arnez & Condry, 2021). Furthermore, delinquency risk may also be influenced by the quality of education and the availability of extracurricular activities.

**Family Structure and Parental Involvement**

Children raised by a single parent are more likely to engage in delinquent behaviour than those raised by two parents. Due to factors like a lack of emotional support, supervision, and resources, children from single-parent families are more likely to experience emotional, behavioural, and academic difficulties. Children from single-parent families may also be financially burdened and have difficulty adjusting to shifting family dynamics (Heintz-Martin & Langmeyer, 2019). On the other hand, children from two-parent families are more likely to receive adequate emotional and material support and benefit from positive role models, thereby reducing their risk of delinquency (Heintz-Martin & Langmeyer, 2019). Therefore parental involvement in their children's lives has also significantly impacted their growth and behaviour. Parents actively involved are more likely to establish limits, offer guidance and support, and praise appropriate behaviour.

**School-Based Interventions for Juveniles at Risk of Delinquency**

School-based interventions have been developed to prevent and address youth delinquent behaviour. Because school-based interventions have been demonstrated to reduce and prevent behaviour effectively, numerous variants of these interventions have been developed. Prevention programs, which aim to prevent the onset of antisocial behaviour, are one type of school-based intervention (Kim et al., 2021). These programs frequently include lessons in decision-making, conflict resolution, and life skills to help students make ethical decisions. Prevention programs may also offer academic support to assist students in danger of falling behind academically.

Remedial programming is another type of school-based intervention designed to address delinquent behaviour after it has occurred. These initiatives assist wayward students, and counselling and mentoring may be included in remedial programs to assist students in addressing the root causes of their behaviour and making positive changes (Mak-van der Vossen et al., 2019). In addition, some school-based interventions may involve working with parents and families to support and guide students outside the classroom.

Numerous studies on juvenile delinquency reduction have demonstrated the effectiveness of school-based interventions. For example, Mertens et al. (2020) discovered that a school-based intervention that strongly emphasised developing social skills and promoting healthy relationships effectively reduced delinquent behaviour in a group of at-risk youth. Another study by Lewis (2022) found that a school-based intervention that included academic support and mentoring reduced truancy and boosted academic performance in a group of at-risk students. Despite the efficacy of school-based interventions, challenges persist. Reaching at-risk students who frequently miss school may be difficult, and some schools may need more infrastructure and resources to implement effective interventions. It is also possible that some school interventions only provide band-aid fixes rather than addressing the root causes of delinquent behaviour.

**The Impact of Family Structure and Parental Involvement**

Research has demonstrated that a child's conduct is strongly influenced by their family environment. Goering & Mrug (2021) found that good family relations may increase the chance of delinquent behaviour, whereas solid family ties and active parental involvement may deter such conduct. The effectiveness of school-based treatments for young people at risk of delinquency is significantly influenced by parental involvement and the home environment. The significance of school-based interventions for at-risk children was highly influenced by parental warmth, supervision, and involvement. The authors advised that school-based interventions should encourage parental participation and include families to be more effective.

Similarly, Bröning et al. (2019) observed a correlation between solid and positive parent-child interactions and improved school-based intervention outcomes for at-risk adolescents. According to the study, family functioning substantially impacts intervention success for children with behavioural disorders. Positive reinforcement and supervision are essential components of an effective treatment. The authors concluded that school-based programs that involve parents and promote appropriate parenting skills could considerably enhance the efficacy of these treatments.

A meta-analysis found that family-focused interventions significantly reduced juvenile delinquency. Family-focused interventions target the family as a whole and attempt to improve the family's overall functioning (Lei et al., 2021). These interventions involve the entire family, including at-risk adolescents, their parents, and other relatives. The authors found that programs focusing solely on at-risk adolescents or parents were less effective at reducing delinquent conduct than interventions targeting both groups. The study also showed that family-focused interventions were effective for several family types, including single-parent and low-income households.

**Theoretical Framework for the Study**

This study is grounded in the social learning theory, which asserts that individuals learn and internalise behaviours through observation, modelling, and reinforcement. Children and adolescents are more likely to engage in delinquent behaviour in the context of juvenile delinquency, according to the social learning theory, if they observe such acts being rewarded or if they receive reinforcement for such activities (Vélez & Gweon, 2021). On the other hand, the ecological systems theory, which emphasises the interaction between multiple systems, such as the individual, the family, the school, and the community, is another theoretical foundation used in the research (Crawford, 2020). According to this theory, people are affected by multiple systems that interact and affect one another. In the setting of juvenile delinquency, a youth's behaviour is influenced by a range of environmental factors, such as family dynamics, school culture, and community resources, according to the ecological systems theory.

This work was also inspired by the bioecological model of human development, which emphasises the relationship between a person's biology and environment. Both genes and the environment influence the development of an individual. Regarding adolescent delinquency, a person's conduct is determined by a combination of genetic predispositions, social and environmental factors, including family structure and parental participation, as well as school-based interventions, according to the bioecological model.

**Conclusion**

Significant knowledge gaps could be closed by investigating how parental involvement and family structure affect the efficacy of school-based interventions for at-risk youth. Individual, family, and community factors can affect delinquency in young people. Numerous studies have shown that parental warmth, involvement, and supervision are essential to the success of these treatments. Teens at risk are more likely to engage in less delinquent behaviour if they participate in programs that promote good parenting techniques and involve their families. It is essential to examine how family dynamics and parental involvement influence school-based interventions to develop effective methods for preventing and reducing juvenile delinquency.
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